Commodity Pricing Models

Introduction

The Black-Scholes model assumes assume that stock prices follow a Geometric Brownian with a constant drift. The model can be modified to account for a constant dividend yield. The risk-neutral dynamics for the stock price in this case are given by

$$\frac{dS}{S} = (r - q)dt + \sigma dB_S^*,$$

where I use q to denote the dividend yield paid by the stock. The futures price in this case is just

$$F(T) = \mathsf{E}^* \, S_T = S e^{(r-q)T}.$$

If r>q, the futures price will always be an increasing function of T, whereas if r< q the function will be decreasing. Even though for stocks this assumption could be a good approximation of what we observe in practice, for commodities we typically see the slope of the term-structure of futures prices to change sign over time.

One explanation for a time-varying slope in the term-structure of future prices is that the implicit dividend that accrues to the owner of the physical commodity might vary over time. We call this implicit dividend the **convenience yield** of the commodity. For many commodities, it represent the fact that the commodity can be put to use in productive activities and therefore is valuable to have it in storage, just in case.

A simple way to introduce time-variation in the convenience yield is to assume that it follows a mean-reverting process. If the convenience yield of the commodity is too high, then high cost producers will start extracting the commodity increasing supply and reducing the value of physically owning the commodity. On the contrary, if the convenience yield is too low, supple decreases and therefore the value of owning the physical commodity increases. The convenience yield is therefore an implicit dividend net of storage costs.

A simple model that captures these ideas was first introduced by Gibson and Schwartz (1990). In the model, the commodity spot price S follows a geometric Brownian motion and the convenience yield q follows an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process such that

$$\frac{dS}{S} = (\mu_S - q)dt + \sigma_S dB_S,$$

$$dq = \kappa(\bar{q} - q)dt + \sigma_q dB_q,$$

where $dB_SdB_q=\rho_{s,q}$. Note that the process for q makes the convenience yield to revert back to its long run value \bar{q} . The speed of this mean-reversion is determined by κ , but also by how volatile is the convenience yield.

The paper by Gibson and Schwartz (1990) was one of the first multifactor pricing model to introduce variation not only in the spot price but also in the dividend yield.

An alternative two-factor model of commodity prices was introduced by Schwartz and Smith (2000). The motivation for their model is different than Gibson and Schwartz (1990). Schwartz and Smith (2000) assume that the log-spot price is subject to two type of shocks, permanent and temporary shocks. In the Schwartz and Smith (2000) model, permanent shocks are model by an arithmetic Brownian motion whereas the temporary shocks mean-revert to a zero mean.

More specifically, in the Schwartz and Smith (2000) model we have that ln(S) = x + y, where x denotes a permanent shock and y denotes a temporary shock. The dynamics of these processes are described by

$$dx = \mu_x dt + \sigma_x dB_x,$$

$$dy = -\kappa y dt + \sigma_y dB_y,$$

where $dB_{\chi}dB_{\nu} = \rho_{\chi,\nu}dt$.

Even though the models look different, it turns out that they are equivalent. Dai and Singleton (2000) show that many multifactor models of interest rates can be rotated and translated to produce equivalent models. It turns out that the same is true for multifactor models of commodity prices. In the appendix I show how the parameters and Brownian motions of the model of Schwartz and Smith (2000) can be written in terms of the parameters and Brownian motions of the Gibson and Schwartz (1990) model.

In the following, I will solve for the futures price in the Schwartz and Smith (2000) model since their way of writing the model makes it easier to present the solution method.

The Model

Consider two independent Brownian motions B_x and B_z defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathsf{P})$, and define $B_y = \rho_{x,y} B_x + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{x,y}^2} B_z$. Then B_y is a Brownian motion such that $dB_x dB_y = \rho_{x,y} dt$.

Consider first the arithmetic Brownian motion process for x that in the model is given by

$$dx = \mu_x dt + \sigma_x dB_x.$$

Then,

$$x_T = x_0 + \mu_x T + \sigma_x B_{xT}.$$

Since $B_{x,T}$ is normally distributed with mean 0 and variance T, we have that x_T is normal with mean and variance given by

$$\mathsf{E}(x_T) = x_0 + \mu_{x} T,$$

$$V(x_T) = \sigma_x^2 T.$$

Now consider the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process for y which in the model is given by

$$dy = -\kappa y dt + \sigma_y dB_y.$$

To solve for y_T , introduce $z_t = y_t e^{\kappa t}$. Applying Ito's lemma to z we find

$$dz = e^{\kappa t} dy + \kappa y e^{\kappa t} dt = \sigma_y e^{\kappa t} dB_y.$$

Integrating both sides from 0 to T we find

$$z_T = z_0 + \sigma_y \int_0^T e^{\kappa t} dB_{yt},$$

or in terms of y we can write

$$y_T = y_0 e^{-\kappa T} + \sigma_y e^{-\kappa T} \int_0^T e^{\kappa t} dB_{yt}.$$

Because $\int_0^T e^{\kappa t} dB_{yt}$ is normal with mean 0 and variance

$$\int_{0}^{T} e^{2\kappa t} (dB_{yt})^{2} = \int_{0}^{T} e^{2\kappa t} dt = \frac{e^{2\kappa T} - 1}{2\kappa},$$

the future value of y at time T is also normal with mean and variance are given by

$$E(y_T) = y_0 e^{-\kappa T}$$

$$V(y_T) = \sigma_y^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2\kappa T}}{2\kappa}.$$

Finally, we can compute the covariance between x_T and y_T as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Cov}(x_T, y_T) &= \sigma_x \sigma_y e^{\kappa T} \int_0^T e^{-\kappa t} dB_{xt} dB_{yt} \\ &= \sigma_x \sigma_y e^{\kappa T} \int_0^T e^{-\kappa t} \rho_{x,y} dt \\ &= \rho_{x,y} \sigma_x \sigma_y \frac{1 - e^{-\kappa T}}{\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Because x_T and y_T are jointly normal, we have that $x_T + y_T$ is normally distributed. Thus,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}(S_T) &= \mathsf{E}(\ln S_T) \\ &= \exp(\mathsf{E}\ln(S_T) + \mathsf{V}\ln(S_T)) \\ &= \exp\left(\mathsf{E}(x_T) + \mathsf{E}(y_T) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{V}(x_T) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{V}(y_T) + \mathsf{Cov}(x_T, y_T)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(x_0 + y_0 e^{-\kappa T} + \mu_x T + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_x^2 T + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_y^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2\kappa T}}{2\kappa} + \rho_{x,y}\sigma_x\sigma_y \frac{1 - e^{-\kappa T}}{\kappa}\right). \end{split}$$

Also, note that because in the model $ln(S_T)$ is normal, we can easily answers questions such

as what is the probability that the commodity price at time T will be grater than K. Indeed,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}(S_T > K) &= \mathsf{P}(\ln S_T > \ln K) \\ &= \mathsf{P}\left(Z > \frac{\ln K - \mathsf{E}\ln(S_T)}{\sqrt{\mathsf{V}\ln(S_T)}}\right) \\ &= \mathsf{P}\left(Z < \frac{\mathsf{E}\ln(S_T) - \ln K}{\sqrt{\mathsf{V}\ln(S_T)}}\right), \end{split}$$

where $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

Adjusting for Risk

In order to be able to use the model to price futures contracts, we need first to adjust for risk. Ideally, we would like to adjust for risk each of the drift parameters in x and y. In their original paper, Schwartz and Smith (2000) only adjust μ and the level of y. We can easily also adjust κ by introducing a time-varying market price of risk for B_z .

In order to do this, let

$$\frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda} = -rdt - \lambda_x dB_x - (\lambda_{0z} + \lambda_{1z}y)dB_z,$$

be the stochastic discount factor. We assume that both Brownian motions B_x and B_z are spanned by existing traded contracts so that λ_x , λ_{0z} and λ_{1z} are uniquely identified.

Let $\mathcal{E}=\Lambda\beta$ where $\beta_t=\beta_0e^{\int_0^tr_sds}$. We do not really care about the dynamics of r except for the fact that we need \mathcal{E} to be a martingale under P. Applying Ito's lemma to \mathcal{E} we find that,

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}} = -\lambda_x dB_x - (\lambda_{0z} + \lambda_{1z} y) dB_z.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}}dB_{x}=-\lambda_{x}dt,$$

and

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}}dB_y &= \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}} \left(\rho_{x,y} dB_x + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{x,y}^2} dB_z \right) \\ &= -\lambda_x \rho_{x,y} dt - \sqrt{1 - \rho_{x,y}^2} (\lambda_{0z} + \lambda_{1z} y) dt \\ &= - \left(\lambda_x \rho_{x,y} + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{x,y}^2} \lambda_{0z} \right) dt - \left(\sqrt{1 - \rho_{x,y}^2} \lambda_{1z} y \right) y dt \\ &= - (\lambda_{0y} + \lambda_{1y} y) dt, \end{split}$$

where
$$\lambda_{0y} = \lambda_x \rho_{x,y} + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{x,y}^2} \lambda_{0z}$$
 and $\lambda_{1y} = \sqrt{1 - \rho_{x,y}^2} \lambda_{1z}$.

According to Girsanov's theorem,

$$B_{xt}^* = B_{xt} + \lambda_x t,$$

$$B_{vt}^* = B_{vt} + (\lambda_{0v} + \lambda_{1v} y)t,$$

are P^* -Browninan motions where the measure P^* is defined through its Radon-Nikodym derivative as

$$\frac{d\,\mathsf{P}^*}{d\,\mathsf{P}}=\mathcal{E}_T.$$

The risk-adjusted processes for x and y are then

$$dx = \mu_x^* dt + \sigma_x dB_x^*,$$

$$dy = (-\kappa^* y - \lambda^*) dt + +\sigma_y dB_y^*,$$

where
$$\mu_x^* = \mu_x - \sigma_x \lambda_x$$
, $\lambda^* = \sigma_y \lambda_{0y}$, and $\kappa^* = \kappa + \sigma_y \lambda_{1y}$.

Solving for the Futures Price

The futures price expiring at T is the expected spot price under the risk-neutral measure, i.e.,

$$F(T) = \mathsf{E}^*(S_T).$$

Since the change of measure only changes constant coefficients into risk-adjusted constant coefficients, the log of the spot price is still normally distributed under the risk-neutral measure

 P^* . Thus, the futures prices expiring at T is just

$$F(T) = \exp\left(\mathsf{E}^*(x_T) + \mathsf{E}^*(y_T) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{V}^*(x_T) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{V}^*(y_T) + \mathsf{Cov}^*(x_T, y_T)\right).$$

The only difference between $E^*(x_T)$, $V^*(x_T)$, $V^*(y_T)$, $Cov^*(x_T, y_T)$ and their not starred counterparts is that starred moments use μ^* and κ^* instead of μ and κ . So we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{E}^*(x_T) &= x_0 + \mu_x^* T, \\ \mathsf{V}^*(x_T) &= \sigma_x^2 T, \\ \mathsf{V}^*(y_T) &= \sigma_y^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2\kappa^* T}}{2\kappa^*}, \\ \mathsf{Cov}^*(x_T, y_T) &= \rho_{x,y} \sigma_x \sigma_y \frac{1 - e^{-\kappa^* T}}{\kappa^*}. \end{aligned}$$

The only starred moment that is different is $\mathsf{E}^*(y_T)$ since now the risk-adjusted process for y has an extra component given by λ^* . If we follow the same method used to solve for y_T under P, we find that

$$y_T = y_0 e^{-\kappa^* T} - \lambda^* \frac{1 - e^{-\kappa^* T}}{\kappa^*} + \sigma_y e^{-\kappa^* T} \int_0^T e^{\kappa^* t} dB_{yt}^*.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathsf{E}^*(y_T) = y_0 e^{-\kappa^* T} - \lambda^* \frac{1 - e^{-\kappa^* T}}{\kappa^*}.$$

The futures price in the Schwartz and Smith (2000) model is then

$$F(T) = \exp\left(x_0 + y_0 e^{-\kappa^* T} + \mu_x^* T - \lambda^* \frac{1 - e^{-\kappa^* T}}{\kappa^*} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_x^2 T + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_y^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2\kappa^* T}}{2\kappa^*} + \rho_{x,y} \sigma_x \sigma_y \frac{1 - e^{-\kappa^* T}}{\kappa^*}\right).$$

Appendix

In this appendix I show the equivalence between the models of Gibson and Schwartz (1990) and Schwartz and Smith (2000). We start with the model of Gibson and Schwartz (1990),

$$\frac{dS}{S} = (\mu - q)dt + \sigma_S dB_S,$$

$$dq = \kappa(\bar{q} - q)dt + \sigma_q dB_q,$$

where $dB_S dB_q = \rho_{s,q}$.

We know that ln(S) follows an arithmetic P-Brownian motion

$$d\ln(S) = \frac{dS}{S} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dS}{S}\right)^2 = \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S^2\right) dt - qdt + \sigma_S dB_S.$$

Define $z = q - \bar{q}$. Then,

$$d\ln(S) = \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S^2 - \bar{q}\right)dt - zdt + \sigma_S dB_S,$$

 $dz = -\kappa z dt + \sigma_q dB_q.$

Since

$$-zdt = \frac{dz - \sigma_q dB_q}{\kappa},$$

we can write $d \ln(S)$ as

$$d\ln(S) = \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S^2 - \bar{q}\right)dt + \frac{dz}{\kappa} + \sigma_S dB_S - \frac{\sigma_q}{\kappa} dB_q.$$

Let $y = z/\kappa$. Then,

$$dy = \frac{dz}{\kappa} = -zdt + \frac{\sigma_q}{\kappa}dB_q = -\kappa ydt + \frac{\sigma_q}{\kappa}dB_q.$$

Define

$$dx = \mu_x dt + \sigma_x dB_x,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \mu_{x} &= \mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{S}^{2} - \bar{q}, \\ \sigma_{x} &= \sqrt{\sigma_{S}^{2} + \sigma_{q}^{2}/\kappa^{2}}, \\ dB_{x} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_{S}^{2} + \sigma_{q}^{2}/\kappa^{2}}} \left(\sigma_{S}dB_{S} - \frac{\sigma_{q}}{\kappa}dB_{q}\right). \end{split}$$

Clearly, B_x is a Brownian motion such that

$$dB_{x}dB_{q} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_{S}^{2} + \sigma_{q}^{2}/\kappa^{2}}} \left(\rho_{S,q}\sigma_{S} - \frac{\sigma_{q}}{\kappa}\right) dt.$$

In the Schwartz and Smith (2000) model we have that

$$\ln(S) = x + y$$

$$dx = \mu_x dt + \sigma_x dB_x,$$

$$dy = -\kappa y dt + \sigma_y dB_y,$$

where $dB_x dB_y = \rho_{x,y} dt$.

Therefore, the parameters and Brownian motions in the Schwartz and Smith (2000) model can be defined in terms of the parameters and Brownian motions in the Gibson and Schwartz (1990)

model as

$$\mu_{x} = \mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{S}^{2} - \bar{q},$$

$$\sigma_{x} = \sqrt{\sigma_{S}^{2} + \sigma_{q}^{2}/\kappa^{2}},$$

$$\sigma_{y} = \frac{\sigma_{q}}{\kappa},$$

$$B_{x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_{S}^{2} + \sigma_{q}^{2}/\kappa^{2}}} \left(\sigma_{S}B_{S} - \frac{\sigma_{q}}{\kappa}B_{q}\right),$$

$$B_{y} = B_{q},$$

$$\rho_{x,y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_{S}^{2} + \sigma_{q}^{2}/\kappa^{2}}} \left(\rho_{S,q}\sigma_{S} - \frac{\sigma_{q}}{\kappa}\right).$$

References

Dai, Qiang, and Kenneth J. Singleton. 2000. "Specification Analysis of Affine Term Structure Models." *The Journal of Finance* 55 (5): 1943–78.

Gibson, Rajna, and Eduardo S. Schwartz. 1990. "Stochastic Convenience Yield and the Pricing of Oil Contingent Claims." *Journal of Finance* 45 (3): 959–76.

Schwartz, Eduardo, and James E. Smith. 2000. "Short-Term Variations and Long-Term Dynamics in Commodity Prices." *Management Science* 46 (7): 893–911.