# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Modeling Stock Prices in Continuous-Time

#### Lorenzo Naranjo



#### **WashU Olin Business School**



- A stochastic process describes the evolution of a random variable over time.
- In finance we use stochastic processes to model the evolution of stock prices, interest rates, volatility, foreign exchange rates, commodity prices, etc.
- We distinguish between:
	- **Discrete-time processes**: The values of the process  $\{S_n\}$  are allowed to change only at discrete time intervals, i.e.  $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, N\}$  or  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
	- **Continuous-time processes**: The stochastic process  $\{S_t\}$  is defined for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

#### Random Walk

A random walk  $\{X_n\}$  is a stochastic process defined as:

$$
X_0 = x_0
$$
  

$$
X_{n+1} = X_n + e_{n+1}
$$

where  $\{e_n\}$  are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables such that  $E(e_n) = 0$  for all  $n \ge 1$ .

- Note that  $e_n$  need not be normally distributed.
- For example,  $e_n$  could be such:

$$
\Pr(e_n = 1) = \Pr(e_n = -1) = 0.5
$$

## Random Walk Simulation



The figure plots simulated paths for the random walk  $X_{n+1} = X_n + e_{n+1}$ where  $X_0 = 0$ ,  $\{e_n\}$  is an i.i.d sequence taking the values 1 and -1 with equal probability, and  $n < 5000$ .

#### **Martingales**

A discrete-time martingale  $\left\{ Z_{n}\right\} _{n\geq0}$  is a stochastic process such that:

$$
E(Z_{n+1} | Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n) = Z_n
$$

- Note that a martingale need not be a random walk.
	- For example, consider the process  $\{Z_n\}$ :

$$
Z_{n+1}=Z_n\varepsilon_{n+1}
$$

where  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  is an i.i.d. sequence such that  $E(\varepsilon_n) = 1$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . • It is a martingale since:

$$
E(Z_{n+1} | Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n) = E(Z_n \varepsilon_{n+1} | Z_n) = Z_n E(\varepsilon_{n+1} | Z_n) = Z_n.
$$

• Random walks are martingales, though.

## Wiener Process

A very useful random walk can be defined as follows:

$$
W_{t+\Delta t} = W_t + \sqrt{\Delta t} e_{t+\Delta t}
$$

where  $W_0 = 0$  and  $\{e_t\}$  are i.i.d. such that  $e_t \sim N(0, 1)$ .

- Note that here time increases each step by  $\Delta t$ .
- Letting  $\Delta t \to 0$ , the resulting process  $\{W_t\}$  for  $t \in [0, T]$  is called a Wiener process or Brownian motion.
- The Wiener process has the following properties:
	- The sample paths are continuous.
	- For  $s < t$ , the increment  $W_t W_s \sim N(0, t s)$ , i.e. is normally distributed with mean 0 and variance  $t - s$ .
	- Increments are independent of each other.
	- In particular, note that W<sup>t</sup> ∼ N(0*,*t) for 0 *<* t ≤ T.

## Wiener Process Simulation



The figure plots simulated paths for  $\{W_t\}$  where  $t \in [0, 10]$ .

## Geometric Brownian Motion

• Now we turn our attention to modeling stock prices  $\{S_t\}$ .

- We need to be careful, though, as stock prices cannot be negative.
- $\bullet$  We also would like to allow the model to display a certain drift  $\mu$  and volatility *σ*.
- To achieve this, we model the percentage change of a stock price between t and  $t + \Delta t$  as:

$$
\frac{\Delta S_t}{S_t} = \mu \Delta t + \sigma \Delta W_t
$$

- Note that the percentage change in price over an interval  $\Delta t$  is normally distributed with mean  $\mu \Delta t$  and variance  $\sigma^2 \Delta t.$
- This process is called a geometric Brownian motion (GBM).

## Geometric Brownian Motion Simulation



The figure plots simulated paths for a geometric Brownian motion  $\{S_t\}$ where  $t \in [0, 10]$ ,  $S_0 = 100$ ,  $\mu = 0.20$ , and  $\sigma = 0.20$ . The dashed line denotes  $\mathsf{E}\left( \mathcal{S}_t \right) = \mathcal{S}_0 e^{\mu t}$ .

#### Preliminary Results on Wiener Processes

The Wiener process increment can be approximated as:

$$
\Delta W_t = W_{t+\Delta t} - W_t = \sqrt{\Delta t} e_{t+\Delta t}
$$

If we define  $\xi = (\Delta W_t)^2$ , we have that:

$$
E(\xi) = \Delta t
$$
  
 
$$
V(\xi) = E(\xi^2) - (E(\xi))^2 = 3(\Delta t)^2 - (\Delta t)^2 = 2(\Delta t)^2 \approx 0
$$

Similarly, if we define  $\zeta = (\Delta t)(\Delta W_t)$ , we have that:

$$
E(\zeta) = 0
$$
  
\n $V(\zeta) = E(\zeta^2) - (E(\zeta))^2 = (\Delta t)^2 E(\xi) = (\Delta t)^3 \approx 0$ 

Hence,  $(\Delta W_t)^2 \approx \Delta t$  and  $(\Delta t)(\Delta W_t) \approx 0$  for small  $\Delta t$ .

### Intuitive Ito's Lemma

- Consider a GBM process  $\{S_t\}$  and a smooth function  $f(\cdot)$ .
- A second order Taylor approximation around  $S_t$  implies:

$$
f(S_t + \Delta S_t) \approx f(S_t) + f'(S_t)(\Delta S_t) + \frac{1}{2}f''(S_t)(\Delta S_t)^2
$$

• Using the results derived before:

$$
(\Delta S_t)^2 = (\mu S_t \Delta t + \sigma S_t \Delta W_t)^2
$$
  
=  $(\mu S_t)^2 (\Delta t)^2 + 2\mu \sigma (S_t)^2 (\Delta t) (\Delta W_t) + (\sigma S_t)^2 (\Delta W_t)^2$   
 $\approx \sigma^2 S_t^2 \Delta t$ 

We can finally conclude that:

$$
\Delta f(S_t) \approx \left(\mu S_t f'(S_t) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S_t^2 f''(S_t)\right) \Delta t + \sigma S_t f'(S_t) \Delta W_t
$$

#### Ito's Lemma

- The continuous-time analog of the previous analysis is as follows.
- As before, we consider a GBM process  $\{S_t\}$  given by:

$$
dS = \mu S dt + \sigma S dW
$$

and a smooth function  $F(\cdot)$ .

- Define a new process  $\{X_t\}$  as  $X_t = F(S_t)$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .
- $\bullet$  Ito's lemma states that:

$$
dF = \left(\mu SF'(S) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 F''(S)\right) dt + \sigma SF'(S)dW
$$

## Ito Calculus Rules

• It is usually more convenient to use the following results when working with stochastic processes defined through Brownian motions:

$$
(dt)2 = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
(dt)(dW) = (dW)(dt) = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
(dW)2 = dt
$$

**o** Ito's Lemma can then be restated as:

$$
dF = F'(S)dS + \frac{1}{2}F''(S)(dS)^2
$$

where

$$
(dS)^2 = (\mu S dt + \sigma S dW)^2 = \sigma^2 S^2 dt
$$

## Solving for GBM

- Define  $X = \mathsf{In}(S)$ , which implies  $S = e^X.$
- We have that  $F'(S)=1/S$  and  $F''(S)=-1/S^2,$  which implies that:

$$
dX = \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)dt + \sigma dW
$$

• We can then solve for  $X_T$ :

$$
X_T - X_0 = \int_0^T dX = \int_0^T \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right) dt + \int_0^T \sigma dW
$$
  
=  $\left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T + \sigma W_T$ 

We can finally conclude that:

$$
S_T = S_0 \exp\left(\left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T + \sigma W_T\right)
$$

## Properties of Stock Prices Following a GBM

• The previous result can be rewritten as:

$$
\ln(S_T) = \ln(S_0) + \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T + \sigma W_T
$$

We can conclude that ln $({\sf S}_{\cal T})\sim{\sf N}(m,s^2)$ , where:

$$
m = \ln(S_0) + \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T
$$

$$
s = \sigma\sqrt{T}
$$

• In other words,  $S_T$  is lognormally distributed with mean m and variance  $s^2$ .

## Calculating a Confidence Interval on the Stock Price

#### Example 1

Consider a stock whose price at time t is given by  $S_t$  and that follows a GBM. The expected return is 12% per year and the volatility is 25% per year. The current spot price is \$25. If we denote  $X_T = \ln(S_T)$  and take  $T = 0.5$ , we have that:

$$
E(X_T) = \ln(25) + (0.12 - 0.5(0.25)^2) (0.5) = 3.2633
$$
  
SD(X<sub>T</sub>) = 0.25 $\sqrt{0.5}$  = 0.1768

Hence, the 95% confidence interval for  $S<sub>T</sub>$  is given by:

$$
[e^{3.2633-1.96(0.1768)}, e^{3.2633+1.96(0.1768)}] = [18.48, 36.96]
$$

Therefore, there is a 95% probability that the stock price in 6 months will lie between \$18.48 and \$36.96.

## Calculating the Moments of the Stock Price

• Some algebra reveals the expectation and standard deviation of  $S_T$ :

$$
E(S_T) = S_0 e^{\mu T}
$$

$$
SD(S_T) = E(S_T) \sqrt{e^{\sigma^2 T} - 1}
$$

#### Example 2

Consider a stock whose price at time t is given by  $S_t$  and that follows a GBM. The expected return is 12% per year and the volatility is 25% per year. The current spot price is \$25. The expected price and standard deviation 6 months from now are:

$$
E(S_T) = 25e^{0.12(0.5)} = $26.55
$$
  
SD(S\_T) = 26.55 $\sqrt{e^{0.25^2(0.5)} - 1} = $4.73$ 

### Computing Partial Expectations

Since  $\ln(S_{\mathcal{T}}) \sim \mathsf{N}(m, s^2)$ . Then we have that:

$$
E(S_T 1\!\!1_{\{S_T>K\}}) = e^{m + \frac{1}{2}s^2} \Phi\left(\frac{m + s^2 - \ln(K)}{s}\right)
$$
  

$$
= S_0 e^{\mu T} \Phi\left(\frac{\ln(S_0/K) + (\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right)
$$
  

$$
E(K 1\!\!1_{\{S_T>K\}}) = K \Phi\left(\frac{m - \ln(K)}{s}\right)
$$
  

$$
= K \Phi\left(\frac{\ln(S_0/K) + (\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right)
$$

• It turns out that these results are everything we need in order to derive the Black-Scholes pricing formulas!



## <span id="page-18-0"></span>A Generalized Form of Ito's Lemma

- Most derivatives not only depend on the underlying asset but also depend on time since they have fixed expiration dates.
- The analysis we did before for Ito's Lemma generalizes easily to handle this case.
- Consider a non-dividend paying stock that follows a GBM:

$$
dS = \mu S dt + \sigma S dW
$$

and a smooth function F(S*,*t).

• Ito's Lemma in this case applies in the following form:

$$
dF = \frac{\partial F}{\partial S} dS + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial S^2} (dS)^2 + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} dt
$$

where  $(dS)^2 = \sigma^2 S^2 dt$ .